

## **Bolsover District Council**

# Meeting of the Standards Committee on Monday 11th September 2023

#### **Recruitment of Independent Persons**

#### Report of the Assistant Director of Governance & Monitoring Officer

Classification	This report is public
Report By	Jim Fieldsend Assistant Director of Governance and Monitoring Officer 01246 242472 Jim.fieldsend@bolsover.gov.uk
Contact Officer	Amy Bryan Governance and Civic Manager Amy.bryan@bolsover.gov.uk 01246 242529

### **PURPOSE/SUMMARY OF REPORT**

To outline proposals for the replacement of one of the Independent Persons.

#### REPORT DETAILS

## 1. Background

- 1.1 Under the Localism Act 2011 the Council is required to appoint at least one Independent Persons (IP) to advise the Council's Monitoring Officer on Member code of conduct issues. However, the Council decided when originally setting up the system to have two Independent Persons. Each member who is the subject of a complaint has the right to discuss the matter with one of the IPs, and the Monitoring Officer has an obligation to consult one of the IPs on each case. Clearly it is better to have the consultations with two different IPs. In addition, it ensures as best as is possible that the Council is not left without an IP if one resigns for example.
- 1.2 One of the IPs has submitted his resignation and it is therefore necessary to recruit a new IP.

## 2. <u>Details of Proposal or Information</u>

2.1 The proposal is to try to recruit two additional IPs. Many Councils have more than two IPs to cover situations where there may be conflicts and where there are

- problems with availability. Having at least three IPs will ensure that there are at least two IPs in the event that one of them ceases to be an IP.
- 2.2 The remuneration received by the IP is currently £800 per annum. There are no proposals to change this.
- 3. Reasons for Recommendation
- 3.1 It is considered necessary to recruit two further IPs to ensure flexibility.
- 3.2 The appointment of the successful candidate will be recommended to Council.
- 4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection
- 4.1 Not to recruit additional IPs. For the reasons set out in this report this is not considered to be an option.

### **RECOMMENDATION(S)** that;

The Council undertakes a recruitment process for the appointment of two additional Independent Persons.

<u>IMPLICATIONS;</u>						
Finance and Risk:	Yes⊠	No □				
Details: Having three Independent Persons would cost an extra £800.						
		On	behalf of the Section 151 Officer			
Legal (including Data Prot	tection):	Yes⊠	No □			
Details: Section 28 of the Lo	ocalism Act	2011 require	the Council to appoint at least			
one independent person.						
		On beh	nalf of the Solicitor to the Council			
Environment: Yes□	No 🏻	⊠				
Details: There are no enviro	onment impl	ications arisii	ng from the recommendations in			
this report.						
Staffing: Yes□ None arising from t	<b>No</b> ⊠ this report.	On ho	ehalf of the Head of Paid Service			
		Oll be	fiall of the fiedd of fald oelvloe			

# **DECISION INFORMATION**

Is the decision A Key Decision two or more to the Council Revenue - £7	No				
Is the decision (Only Key De	No				
District Wards Significantly Affected None					
SLT 🗆	: uty Leader ⊠ Executive □ Relevant Service Manager □ Public □ Other ⊠	Details: Councillor Duncan McGregor, Portfolio Holder for Corporate Governance Standards Committee			
Links to Council Ambition: Customers, Economy and Environment.  Demonstrating good governance					
DOCUMENT INFORMATION					
Appendix No					
1					
Background Papers  (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Executive you must provide copies of the background papers).  None					